

Negotiating Social Entanglements through Feasting in Iron Age and Archaic Western Sicily*

William Balco

Abstract: Iron Age and Archaic western Sicilians interacted with Greek and Phoenician colonists. The effects of this interaction accumulated over time, introducing new ideas and material culture. Here, western Sicilians transformed their feasting assemblages, reinventing their visual displays of wealth, power, and prestige. This local response created new material culture and expressions of identity.

Keywords: Interaction, Sicily, Feasting, Identity, Post-Colonialism.

Riassunto: Le popolazioni indigene della Sicilia occidentale dell'Età del Ferro e di età arcaica interagirono con i coloni greci e fenici. Gli effetti di tale interazione, stratificatisi nel tempo, determinarono l'introduzione di nuove idee e di elementi di cultura materiale. Le popolazioni della Sicilia occidentale trasformarono i loro set ceremoniali, reinterpretando le manifestazioni visive di ricchezza, potere e prestigio. Questa risposta locale diede vita a nuove forme di cultura materiale e a nuove espressioni di identità.

Parole chiave: Interazione, Sicilia, banchetti, identità, postcolonialismo.

Sicily's geographic position dividing the western from the eastern Mediterranean situated it as a crossroad of cultures for millennia. The arrival of Greek colonists and Phoenician merchants during the eighth century BC resulted in significant transformations to indigenous Iron Age Sicilian polities. In the western Mediterranean, only eastern Spain (ROUILLARD 2009) and western Sicily (KOLB, SPEAKMAN 2005; MONTANA *et alii* 2009; MORRIS, TUSA 2004; and BALCO 2012; 2018) hosted both Greek and Phoenician colonial centers. Consequently, the Elymi of western Sicily, an indigenous population occupying a number of sites across western Sicily (DE VIDO 2009; DE ANGELIS 2016; SPATAFORA 2020) (Fig. 1) engaged in intensive contact and interaction with the Greek colonies at Selinus and Himera as well as the Phoenician *emporium* at Mozia, Panormus, and Solunto. This, in turn, significantly

* Paper presented at the n° 183 Session 'Ideas across times. Cultural interactions in the central-western Mediterranean Sea from the 7th century BC to the Late Roman Age' of the 26th Annual Meeting of the European Association of Archaeologists (EAA, 2020 Virtual Networking'), organized by Prof. Carla Del Vais, Prof. Marco Giuman, Dr. Ciro Parodo, Dr. Gianna De Luca (University of Cagliari, Department of Humanities, Languages and Cultural Heritage) and Prof. Dominique Frère (University of Southern Brittany, TEMOS Laboratory, CNRS, UMR 9016).



affected the social, political, and economic lifeways of the Elymi, in a manner and scale unlike that experienced by the indigenous Sicans and Sikels in central and eastern Sicily. As relations with colonists became sustained interaction, new ideas, behaviors, and material culture were introduced. Indigenous populations likely perceived the function and/or social implications of foreign goods, beliefs, and behaviors in a different manner than the Greek or Phoenician colonists. The Elymi selectively adopted some ideas and materials introduced from afar while retaining their own cultural traditions, consequently forging a new identity on their own terms. Over multiple generations, the effects of entanglement accumulated, transforming both indigenous and colonial cultures via an evolving process. Here, commensal vessels are explored as proxies for social ideation within the complex social situation that developed in ancient western Sicily. The social significance of feasting as political capital is discussed below, prior to a discussion of the transformation of feasting assemblages and broader social behaviors during the Iron Age and Archaic periods in western Sicily.

To understand the rich complexity of cultural encounters within colonial contexts, researchers have largely moved from polarized colonizer/colonized dichotomies into the concept of social entanglement (BOYD *et alii* 2000; DIETLER 1998; HARRISON 2004; HODDER 2012; MARTINDALE 2009; ORSER 1996; SILLIMAN 2001; 2005; 2016; STAHL 2002; THOMAS 1991). Entanglement accounts for the complex social interconnectedness of people, population centers, and cultures. Such entanglement interanimates individuals with diverse cultures, objects, and places (BALTUS, BAires 2012; KIRK 2006; JORDAN 2009; 2014), energizing social change and the concomitant transformation of material culture. As active agents, individuals are cognizant of social and material entanglements, purposefully manipulating, negotiating, or transforming objects within their context of use and display (BALTUS 2015), by incorporating or rejecting elements of foreign behaviors and material culture. Consequently, the individual can repurpose beliefs, behaviors, and objects to satisfy their needs, desires, or agenda, shaping their expressed identity. As the effects of social and material transformation can accumulate over time, change becomes a seemingly innocuous and indirect, and therefore opaque, process.

Sustained contact and interaction can transform cultures, leading to the development of a social middle ground (WHITE 1991) or third space (BHABHA 1990) – a cultural manifestation that resembles, yet differs from previous expressions of both local and foreign cultures. Such cultural interdigitation (EMERSON 2013; BALTUS 2015) is expressed both behaviorally and objectively, preserving archaeologically visible evidence of the social middle ground. Thus, since we cannot see social or behavioral transformations, we can look to the material culture, and resulting changes in manufacture and use of certain objects, to infer these ephemeral developments. Here, prestige goods are excellent proxies for studying social transformation as their expression varies concomitant to broader social and behavioral transformations. Certain types of pottery, in particular, acted as prestige goods, serving as “political currency” and affording the user with the appearance of wealth, power, and prestige (BRUMFIEL 1987; BRUMFIEL, EARLE 1987; LECOUNT 1999).

Exploring status displays in Iron Age and Archaic western Sicily (approximately 900-500 BC) is readily accomplished via contextual and morphological examinations of pottery vessels and their contexts of use. Of all the diverse pottery forms imported by indigenous Iron Age western Sicilian populations, commensal vessels, particularly those used to consume wine during feasting, are optimal because they were imported in greater numbers than any other forms (WALSH 2014). Commensal feasting was a socioculturally important ritual practice that has been explored archaeologically via diverse theories and methodologies (DIETLER 1990; DIETLER, HAYDEN 2001; GUMERMAN 1997; JOYCE 2010; HAMILAKIS 1998; 2008; PAUKETAT *et alii* 2002; BRAY 2003; HALSTEAD, BARRETT 2004; SWENSON 2006; MILLS 2007; HAYDEN, VILLENEUVE 2011; ARANDA *et alii* 2011; TWISS 2012; WILLS, CROWN 2004; WRIGHT 2004; FERRER MARTÍN 2013; 2016). Feasts served a number of key social functions: they reinforced pre-existing norms regarding social behavior (RABINOWITZ 2004), they created social contexts extending beyond familial boundaries (WILLS, CROWN 2004), and they strengthened social bonds (WALSH 2014). As ritual behaviors, feasts are «a fundamental instrument and theater of political relations» (DIETLER 1999: 135). Perhaps most importantly, feasts served as events where various cultural practices could be conducted, repeated, and reinvented to fit local tastes, both literally and figuratively. Simply put, feasts were political tools to negotiate power, in this case power situated in the space between local and colonial societies.

During feasts, inanimate objects are imbued with qualities extending beyond their functional abilities through complex interactions humans share with them. Each commensal feast incorporates culturally significant food and drink, reflecting concepts of «proper consumption» (DIETLER 2010: 185) shared by the participants. Colonial interaction – then as now – introduced new foods, beverages, commensal hardware, and behaviors to the feast, broadening the spread while redefining which food and drink were to be consumed. For example, Gauls in Iron Age southern France transformed their feasting behaviors, replacing beer and animal fats with wine and olive oil following contact and intensified interaction with colonial Greek merchants and settlers (DIETLER 2005; 2010). Concomitant to the incorporation of wine and olive oil came the incorporation of imported Attic vessels among local Gallic feasts, reflecting local choices by Gauls to actively incorporate imported commensal hardware alongside their own feasting vessels (DIETLER 2010).

The choice of beverage(s) served and consumed at the feast was not entirely socially motivated. Alcoholic beverages – including wine, beer, and mead – were political tools associated with hospitality and reflective of social status, making them indispensable components of commensal feasts (DIETLER 2006). Consequently, offering food or drink that broke from the expected cultural norm may have served to communicate status, success, and identity.

The hardware that functioned to contain, mix, serve, and consume food and drink also communicated status to members of the culture utilizing it. In Iron Age and Archaic western

Sicily, Greek colonial feasting equipment and behaviors may have been incorporated among indigenous traditions, redefining status hierarchies through their possession and use. Many of these feasting accoutrements manufactured of fired clay or precious metals remain archaeologically visible, facilitating a contextual study of individual vessels or entire assemblages as conveyors of representative status.

The vast majority of feasting vessels that remain archaeologically visible were made of fired clay. Transformations of fired clay feasting assemblages accompanied similar changes in status representations. As pottery, these vessels preserve well and are ubiquitous components of domestic artifact assemblages in Iron Age and Archaic western Sicilian sites. The Elymi manufactured and used a variety of fired clay feasting hardware, including *scodelle*, *ciotole*, *tazze attingitoi*, and *capeduncole* (MÜHLENBOCK 2008; 2015; CAMPISI 2003; SPATAFORA 2003; TROMBI 1999a; 2015; SERRA 2016) (Fig. 2). These commensal vessels were likely derived from earlier Bronze Age forms (MÜHLENBOCK 2008; SPATAFORA 1996) yet constituted the crux of indigenous Iron Age feasting assemblages. Many of these vessels were decorated with painted or incised geometric motifs. Painted decoration appeared prior to the widespread use of incised decorations (SERRA 2016) and typically included red or black bands and bars forming geometric designs added atop a cream-colored paint (TROMBI 1999b; 2015; CAMPISI 2003; SERRA 2016; BLASETTI FANTAUZZI 2017; 2018). Incised decorations were characterized by bands and bars forming repeating triangular patterns (FATTA 1983; DI NOTO 1995; ARRABITO 2019). One incised design frequently identified at Iron Age settlements in western Sicily is known as *denti di lupo*, or “teeth of the wolf” (KOLB, SPEAKMAN 2005; OMA 2006; KOLB *et alii* 2006; BALCO 2018; ARRABITO 2019). Nearly identical assemblages of indigenous commensal vessels with these decorative motifs are universal at indigenous Iron Age and Archaic settlements in western Sicily, suggesting a shared tradition spread among these polities.

As stated, the possession and use of feasting vessels communicated status and identity. At the same time, however, any object manufactured and/or influenced by foreign cultures can also «increase the ideological power and political prestige of those who acquire them» (HELMS 1988: 263). The acquisition and possession of imported goods provide testament to the individual’s social, political, and/or economic acumen and affirms the «personal characteristics of the acquirer, who has had to deal [...] with a conceptually distinctive foreign realm» (HELMS 1993: 101). The possession and/or use of foreign vessels thereby becomes a means to establish, maintain, or exert one’s prestige within the group (WRIGHT 1995; 2004; DIETLER 1990; ARNOLD 1999; VIVES-FERRÁNDIZ 2008). Among indigenous Iron Age western Sicilian populations, the choice to use Aegean feasting vessels, rather than indigenous ones, would have broken from the structured indigenous norm, displaying the status or wealth of the individual. Likewise, possessing such imports would have testified to the network maintained by the individual and the socio-economic power that they had access to. In cases where high-quality imports were displayed or used, their presence would have drawn attention to the individual, increasing their social visibility among those at the feast.

Evidence of commensal feasting has been identified within domestic and ritual contexts among Elymian population centers. These contexts are key to understanding the sociopolitical function of the feast. Then, as now, the reasons to feast were diverse, resulting in a wide array of behaviors, foods, drinks, and hardware associated with scheduled and unscheduled banqueting at different locations around population centers. In these contexts, evidence of feasting is most strongly associated with sanctuaries and structures possibly dedicated to banqueting activities.

Sanctuaries served as a nexus of socio-political and cultic ideologies, creating, negotiating, and legitimizing power among indigenous Sicilian polities (FERRER MARTÍN 2013). Given the central role of feasts as activities negotiating and reinforcing power structures (DIETLER 1999; RABINOWITZ 2004), it comes as no surprise that evidence of feasting is found among sanctuary contexts. Indigenous Iron Age and Archaic Sicilian sanctuaries were communal ritual settings situated at the *acropoleis* of indigenous settlements (D'ONZA 2019; FERRER MARTÍN 2013; 2016). Such ritual spaces among indigenous centers are rare in Sicily; with perhaps the best studied at ancient Palikè, located in southeast Sicily (MCCONNELL, MANISCALCO 1997-1998; MANISCALCO, MCCONNELL 2003; CORDANO 2008). Despite their rarity, several Sicilian *acropoleis* in western and central Sicily preserve evidence of successive feasting events with large quantities of butchered and burnt animal remains recovered from in and around hearths, altars, and votive pits (FERRER MARTÍN 2013; 2016). This pattern has been observed at Monte Polizzo (MORRIS *et alii* 2004), Polizzello (PALERMO *et alii* 2009), Sabucina (GUZZONE 2009), Colle Madore (DI ROSA 1999), and Monte Bubbonia (PANCUCCI 1976-1977; 1980-1981; PANCUCCI, NARO 1992), indicating the importance of these localities as communal and ceremonial feasting centers.

The association between feasting and cultic activities extends beyond the simple presence of burnt food remains among certain contexts. At Monte Iato, for example, a two-story banqueting structure likely constructed in the sixth century BCE, was situated immediately west of the Temple of Aphrodite. The social functions of these two structures were directly associated as evidenced by the presence of a ramp connecting the alter in front of the temple and the upper story of the banqueting structure (ÖHLINGER 2016). Feasting at Monte Iato incorporated the use of indigenous hardware alongside imported Greek vessels. For example, fragments of indigenous painted vessels associated with feasting were deposited in ritual dumps near these structures after being intentionally broken (ÖHLINGER 2016). Additionally, a mixed assemblage of imported and indigenous commensal vessels was identified in the rear of the Temple of Aphrodite. This assemblage consisted of 13 vessels, five of which were local matte painted bowls ritually destroyed prior to discard (ÖHLINGER 2016). Furthermore, an indigenous *attingitoio* recovered next to an Attic *skyphos* at the Late Archaic house, dated to approximately 460 BCE (KISTLER *et alii* 2017), attest to the mixed nature of feasting assemblages composed of both indigenous and imported vessels. Here, the *attingitoio* is interpreted as a necessary component of an indigenous ritual focused on the redistribution of food and drink (KISTLER *et alii* 2017). This evidence suggests ritualized feasting occurred

within the cultic areas atop Monte Iato, relying on an assemblage of both local and imported vessels utilized together. Other evidence of indigenous Sicilian feasting has been identified in banqueting halls not affiliated with sanctuaries. Sites such as Monte Iato (KISTLER, MOHR 2015), Montagnoli di Menfi (CASTELLANA 1990; 1992; 2000; KISTLER 2011), and Monte Maranfusa (SPATAFORA 2009) include multi-functional structures, hosting both feasting and cultic behaviors (ÖHLINGER 2015). Commensal hardware recovered from domestic contexts at Monte Maranfusa, for instance, suggest feasting as a component of domestic cult activities. Here, imported commensal vessels were deposited alongside locally produced indigenous ones, forming a complicated feasting assemblage dating from the end of the sixth to the beginning of the fifth centuries BCE (SPATAFORA 2009; 2015). The evidence from Building or “*Edificio*” 2, where a complex assemblage of locally manufactured and imported commensal vessels was recovered (SPATAFORA 2002), suggests that the structure may have served both domestic and social gathering functions (SPATAFORA 2015). This multi-room structure included spaces for the preparation and cooking of foods, communal feasting, food storage, and personal rest (SPATAFORA 2016). Certainly, such a space would have been the locus of negotiating status and identity among commensal participants.

Indigenous feasts clearly incorporated imported hardware alongside locally produced vessels, forming an assemblage reflecting the socially entangled cultural palimpsest characteristic of western Sicily. Still, some vessels within those socially and politically charged feasting assemblages are not readily identified as local or imported, instead blending indigenous manufacturing techniques and decorations with Aegean forms. Such vessels have been recovered from many indigenous sites across western Sicily. These include such examples as a locally produced *lekanis* recovered at Polizello, decorated with indigenous matte-painted geometric designs (TROMBI 2015: n. 865), a locally produced *hydria* recovered at Entella, decorated with indigenous matte-painted geometric designs (TROMBI 2015: n. 192), a *scodella*/lip-cup produced locally and recovered at Monte Finestrelle (BALCO 2018), and a locally produced *skyphos* also recovered at Entella, decorated with indigenous matte-painted geometric designs (TROMBI 2015: n. 220), among others. Of particular note are locally produced *kraters*, often decorated with matte-painted indigenous designs. Such vessels have been recovered at Monte Maranfusa (CAMPISI 2003), Entella (SERRA 2016), and Salemi (BALCO 2018), amongst other places, and would have been a focal point at feasts, a physical location from which participants would have drawn commensal beverages to imbibe and socialize, further entangling the people and cultures through shared revelry.

The appearance of mixed-style vessels, such as those discussed above, occurred at a time when indigenous western Sicilian populations may have had limited access to high-quality imported commensal hardware. Consumption of imported vessels was likely confined to Greek and Phoenician colonists. Consequently, few imported vessels likely remained to be exchanged with other populations, such as the Elymi, which would have created a scarcity amongst indigenous consumers, potentially driving their role as visible signals of wealth, power, and prestige.

Scarcity, as an argument for the dearth of imported feasting vessels recovered from sixth and fifth century indigenous contexts, is supported by evidence for the repair of broken imported commensal vessels. Mended pottery is seldom published (DOOIJES, NIEUWENHUYSE 2007; 2009; PECHE-QUILICHINI *et alii* 2017), yet repaired feasting vessels are present at several indigenous Sicilian population centers including Salemi (BALCO 2012; 2018) and Morgantina (ANTONACCIO 2004; STILLWELL 1959). At Salemi, a fragment of an Attic cup recovered from indigenous contexts shows evidence of a repair (BALCO 2018). This particular mend did little to preserve the original function as a commensal vessel, yet it preserved the vessel's ability to communicate social status through its display.

Clearly, mended vessels held some significance to the people that used them. Reasons for repairing commensal vessels vary; some have interpreted the presence of mended pottery as evidence of periods of social instability and/or crisis (GULDAGER BILDE, HANDBERG 2012). Still others feel that such vessels could be heirlooms «handed down over generations until “furniture being part” of the place» (MENTESANA *et alii* 2018: 267). Such *archaika* project back to a distant past, contributing to the maintenance of communal identities (KISTLER *et alii* 2017). The presence of mended imported feasting vessels among indigenous Sicilian contexts is here interpreted as evidence of their scarcity and high cost to attain – had they been readily available to indigenous populations, broken examples would likely have been replaced by functional vessels.

The scarcity of imported commensal vessels during the sixth century BC prompted the manufacture of cups that blended Aegean, indigenous, and Phoenician forms, potting techniques, and decoration. Vessels exhibiting such blended culturally stylistic elements are here termed “mixed-style” (BALCO 2018). Potters could readily adapt their techniques to manufacture products that appeared similar to imported vessels, particularly to those who may have known of the imports, but who may not have seen them in person. In this manner, potters expressed their agency through the creation of products that blended indigenous and foreign styles. The scarcity of imports allowed potters to find their own voices, meeting demand while also indexing who they were. This synthesis of styles and techniques resulted in vessels that were not strictly indigenous, Aegean, Phoenician, or colonial. These vessels were material manifestations of the social middle ground that developed in western Sicily at that time, enveloping indigenous and colonial populations within a novel expression of cultural and material admixture.

Classifying material culture ultimately produced by individuals enmeshed in a web of complex social interaction and changing behaviors is difficult, at best. It requires contextualizing the historical, social, and political conditions as experienced by the given group, whether indigenous or colonial, and from their perspectives. The vessels indigenous Sicilians manufactured, and those they used during the Iron Age and Archaic periods, were socially charged – their display and use reflected active identity choices of the individual. To consider these objects as solely indigenous or imported is to uncritically oversimplify them.

Instead, cultural affiliations should be averted in favor of thick, objective description. In this case, classifying the vessels as mixed-style more appropriately describes them while avoiding subjective classificatory bias (BALCO 2018).

Mixed-style vessels did not suddenly appear at the Late Iron Age/Archaic transition in western Sicily. Examples can be found among other, earlier cultural contexts around the Mediterranean and elsewhere. For example, the manufacture and use of local pottery incorporating Mycenaean vessel forms and decoration has been recorded at a variety of sites, including in Turkey (VAESSEN 2016), Crete (MOUNTJOY 1990), and Italy (JUNG, MEHOFER 2013; JONES *et alii* 2014; 2021; JUNG *et alii* 2015). The manufacture of these vessels, distinct from Mycenaean imports, have been interpreted in a variety of manners employing a simultaneous blend of subjective and objective characterizations. Rather than account for these vessels objectively prior to subjectively interpreting their context of manufacture, use, and discard, such pottery is interpreted as “local Mycenaean” (VAESSEN 2016: 51-52), “Italo-Mycenaean” (JUNG, MEHOFER 2013; JONES *et alii* 2014; 2021; JUNG *et alii* 2015), or simply “hybrid” (MOUNTJOY 1990).

Among Elymian contexts in western Sicily, mixed-style vessels became regular components of feasting assemblages at the end of the Iron Age (BALCO 2012; 2018). Elymian mixed-style vessels typically took on the form of a cup or a *krater*, incorporating painted fields and bands similar to black gloss decorations found on imported vessels. These decorative fields and bands were not burnished, but were painted onto the vessel after firing, reflecting the techniques and facilities (i.e., kilns) familiar and available to the potter. This decision attests to potters enacting their agency within their own communities of practice, choosing to continue utilizing existing techniques with which they were already familiar.

Most often, mixed-style vessels were incorporated among feasting assemblages alongside indigenous cups and occasionally an imported *krater*. However, mixed-style vessels were not limited to cup forms. As stated above, several mixed-style *kraters* have been recovered from Monte Maranfusa, Entella, and Salemi. Given that the *krater* would have been the focal point of the feast, drawing everyone together to charge their cups (whether indigenous, imported, or mixed-style), perhaps it was the most impactful display of status and prestige. In these contexts, acquiring large, imported pottery vessels (such as a *krater*) would likely have been difficult during the sixth century BCE given the distance between the colonial centers and the mountaintop sites in western Sicily’s interior. This difficulty would have added to the scarcity of such large, imported vessels, increasing the social capital one could display through its possession.

Conceivably, colonists in Sicily and Magna Grecia had an ample supply of imported and colonial vessels. Still, indigenous vessels have been recovered from a variety of habitation, cultic, and mortuary contexts among the Greek colonies in western Sicily (TROMBI 2003). Despite this, no mixed-style vessels have been identified among colonial Greek contexts in western Sicily. With imported and colonial sympotic hardware able to sufficiently meet the

needs of the colonists, there would have been no economic motivation to manufacture mixed-style vessels. Perhaps colonial Greek populations avoided possessing and utilizing mixed-style vessels as these vessels would have communicated an ethnic association, and perhaps even function, uncouth among the colonists. Colonial Greek populations referred to the indigenous western Sicilians as *Elymoi*, a term in ancient Greek referring to millet, a grain the Greeks considered inferior (NENCI 1989; DE ANGELIS 2006). Given colonial Greek perspectives likening indigenous western Sicilians with «under-developed barbarians» (DE ANGELIS 2006: 32), it would come as no surprise if colonial Greek populations were to eschew indigenous products, particularly in socially charged contexts such as feasts. In this case, the colonists may have expressed their own agency in forging a social identity distanced from indigenous culture.

For indigenous populations in Late Iron Age and Archaic western Sicily, the incorporation of mixed-style vessels within feasting assemblages served important social functions. Rather than continue to use exclusively vessels such as *attingitoi*, *capeduncle*, and/or *scodelle*, indigenous populations actively incorporated vessels with foreign forms and stylistic elements into their feasting assemblages and behaviors. This addition may seem insignificant relative to the broader transformation of feasting behaviors, but choosing to incorporate imported and mixed-style vessels among feasting assemblages required adjusting the hierarchy of status displays to account for these new vessels. Following their incorporation within feasting assemblages, mixed-style cups appear to have been used alongside imported vessels, suggesting a similar role exemplifying status and identity to other participants at the feast. Consequently, the possession and use of a mixed-style cup in sixth century indigenous feasts provided the individual with the appearance of social mobility, and its use may have inflated the status of the individual using it.

During the sixth century BC, the growing frequency with which mixed-style vessels appeared at feasts likely diminished the general impact associated with the use and possession of such vessels. As more and more mixed-style vessels were circulated, any status associated with them became diluted. At that point, only real imported vessels from the Aegean would have retained their status as *exotica*. Consequently, mixed-style commensal vessels were a short-lived trend demonstrating the resiliency of indigenous Sicilian culture at the local level.

Once the indigenous Elymi of western Sicily allied themselves with Athens during the second half of the fifth century BC (CHAMBERS *et alii* 1990; DE ANGELIS 2006), there was little need for mixed-style vessels. The alliance facilitated a period of economic growth for the Elymi, one in which costly imported Attic pottery became readily available for indigenous consumption. Feasting assemblages and status associations of commensal vessels transformed yet again following this political and economic alliance. At this time, locally manufactured Elymian pottery was replaced with vessels manufactured in the colonies or the Aegean. Mixed-style vessels, in vogue only a few generations earlier, were deposited in tombs as stand-ins for costly imports or discarded altogether. The transformation of commensal

assemblages attests to the gradual transformation of indigenous society and identity expression (SPATAFORA 2015) during the sixth and fifth centuries BCE.

Feasts were social functions where commensal hardware visibly communicated socially, economically, and politically meaningful information. Consequently, particular feasting vessels projected the status and identity of the individual while the entire commensal assemblage, as a whole, could project the identity and status of the group partaking in the feast. The projection of these characteristics is not necessarily mutually exclusive; the two can be interlocked as some identities are afforded special status over others. Parsing status and identity from the remains of past feasts is difficult, at best; however, if a feasting assemblage consisted of both indigenous and imported vessels, then it likely expressed a socially transformed quality. Characterizing that quality or the degree to which the feasting behaviors were transformed is the next challenge. To understand variation among Elymian feasts, we need to contextualize what an Iron Age Elymian feast looked like. Unfortunately, no complete feasting assemblages have been recovered from indigenous Elymian contexts. Isolated feasting vessels removed from contextual association with their counterparts do little to aid reconstructions of feasting hardware in their contexts of use. Furthermore, no figural representations of indigenous western Sicilian feasting behaviors have yet been identified.

We must consider the varying degrees of social visibility or communicability of different functional vessel types within the context of the feast. For instance, vessels from which beverages were poured or ladled, or that were central to the feast, such as *kraters*, would have had wider exposure and visibility, than a single cup used, and likely seen, by one individual. Here, the visibility of the vessel as a centerpiece of the social feast must be considered. If the possession and use of a cup served to communicate status of the individual, then the possession and use of a *krater* may have served to communicate status in a different manner. If individuals brought their own cups to the feast, the symbolism of the cup reflected the identity and status of the individual reveler, yet the symbolism of the *krater* would have reflected the identity and status of the host or of the community.

Commensal feasts were mnemonic behaviors reinforcing status and identities at the individual and group levels. Transformations to commensal hardware attest to the exchange of ideas between diverse cultures and a redefining of the expression of identity and status. The initial appearance of Greek-style vessels, followed by the emulation of such vessels at Elymian and Phoenician centers occurred because of the transformation of symbols of power and displays of that power. Changes to the physical nature and use of commensal hardware accumulated over time. The *attingitoi* of the seventh century BC were replaced by *scodelle* and mixed-style vessels alongside few imported lip-cups in the sixth century and then by readily acquired imports in the fourth century. The transformation of the feasting assemblage required a normalization of new vessel forms leading to a reduction in the use and display of indigenous forms and an intensification of imported ones. What were once

rare, exotic imports became normal complements to the feasting assemblage before becoming standard components of the feasting kit.

Interestingly, commensal forms incorporated into indigenous feasting assemblages were almost always Aegean. Phoenician forms are distinctly absent, suggesting that the indigenous populations actively selected Aegean feasting behaviors over Levantine ones, despite sustained social and mercantile interaction with colonists at the Phoenician centers of Mozia, Panormus, and Solunto. Even at Mozia, Aegean vessel forms were manufactured alongside Levantine ones, suggesting the demand for such forms transcended broad cultural boundaries.

In this case the transformation of the feast was the result of small changes accumulating at different rates over time. This change was driven by the desire of participants to represent their status in a visible manner, at times elevating their own status and at others normalizing the status of others, and shaped by broader social, political, and economic contexts extending well beyond western Sicily. To the commensal participant, the cup represented power and status; it was an object situated in the liminal space between cultures, a space where the participant could use the cup to define their status, actual or projected, through conspicuous consumption.

Following the intensification of social interaction with foreign Greeks and Phoenicians, the adoption of elements of the Greek feast by Sicilians would come as no surprise. As colonists introduced new beverages and commensal hardware, those indigenous Sicilians with the social, political, or economic network to acquire such foreign goods would incorporate them into their own banqueting behaviors. This redefined indigenous feasting behaviors, transforming both the material and social culture of the feast, including the expression of status.

As the indigenous populations incorporated foreign vessels among their own feasting assemblages, they changed the social meanings associated with the use and possession of both indigenous and imported vessels. New status associations and assumptions developed at the local level, transforming the behavioral understanding of using indigenous or imported vessels. Initially, during the Late Iron Age and Archaic periods, the continued manufacture and use of indigenous feasting vessels could be a consequence of the scarcity of imports among indigenous communities in the mountainous interior of western Sicily. Likewise, for those who had access to imports, the choice to use an imported vessel could represent an acceptance of social interaction and acculturation with colonial populations. The possession and use of these vessels, indigenous or imported, became the catalyst for identity expression and symbolized the socio-political perspective of the user. Once the market shifted in the second half of the fifth century BC, imports became more readily accessible, transforming yet again the status value of these vessels among indigenous populations.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank the Soprintendenza dei Beni Culturali ed Ambientali di Trapani for their continued collaboration in support of exploring western Sicily's past and University of North Georgia's College of Arts and Letters for supporting travel to western Sicily. Two anonymous reviewers provided positive and constructive feedback that was well appreciated. Amy East, Scott Kirk, Erin Bush, Alexander Wisnoski, Victoria Hightower, Johanna Luthman, and Eugene Van Sickle provided valuable feedback on an earlier draft. Finally, any errors are, of course, my own.

WILLIAM BALCO

University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee

wmbalco@uwm.edu

REFERENCES

ANTONACCIO 2004: C. Antonaccio, *Siculo-Geometric and the Sikels: ceramics and identity in eastern Sicily*, in K. Lomas (ed.), *Greek Identity in the Western Mediterranean: Papers in Honour of Brian Shefton*, Brill, Leiden 2004, pp. 55-81.

ARANDA *et alii* 2011: G. Aranda, S. Montón, M. Sánchez-Romero (eds.), *Guess Who's Coming to Dinner: Feasting Rituals in the Prehistoric Societies of Europe and the Near East*, Oxbow Books, London 2011.

ARNOLD 1999: B. Arnold, *Drinking the feast: alcohol and the legitimization of power in Celtic Europe*, «Cambridge Archaeological Journal» 9, 1, 1999, pp. 71-93.

ARRABITO 2019: S. Arrabito, *Tecniche e sintassi decorative della ceramica indigena degli insediamenti della valle del Belice tra l'Età del Ferro e l'Età Arcaica*, «Cronache di Archeologia» 38, 2019, pp. 289-474.

BALCO 2012: W. M. Balco, *Material Expressions of Social Change: Indigenous Sicilian Responses to External Influences in the First Millennium B.C.*, Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee, Milwaukee 2012.

BALCO 2018: W. M. Balco, *Thinking beyond imitation: Mixed-style pottery in ancient Western Sicily*, «Journal of Mediterranean Archaeology» 31, 2, 2018, pp. 180-202.

BALTUS 2015: M. R. Baltus, *Unraveling entanglements: Reverberations of Cabokia's big bang*, in M. E. Buchanan, B. J. Skousen (eds.), *Tracing the Relational: The Archaeology of Worlds, Spirits, and Temporalities*, The University of Utah Press, Salt Lake City 2015, pp. 148-162.

BALTUS, BAires 2012: M. R. Baltus, S. E. Baires, *Elements of ancient power in the Cabokian world*, «Journal of Social Archaeology» 12, 2, 2011, pp. 167-192.

BHABHA 1990: H. Bhabha, *The third space*, in J. Rutherford (ed.), *Identity: Community, Culture, Difference*, Lawrence and Wishart, London 1990, pp. 207-221.

BLASETTI FANTAUZZI 2017: C. Blasetti Fantauzzi, *Die lokale Produktion geometrisch bemalter Keramik aus Erice (Sizilien). Eigenarten in Form und Dekor*, «Analysis Archaeologica: An International Journal of Western Mediterranean Archaeology» 3, 2017, pp. 5-17.

BLASETTI FANTAUZZI 2018: C. Blasetti Fantauzzi, *Tra Elimi e Greci. La ceramica di età arcaica dai contesti di fondazione della cinta muraria di Erice* (= *Analysis Archaeologica*, Monograph Series 3), Quasar, Roma 2018.

BOYD *et alii* 2000: M. Boyd, J. C. Erwin, M. Hendrickson (eds.), *The Entangled Past: Integrating History and Archaeology. Proceedings of the 30th Annual Chacmool Archaeological Conference, Calgary Alberta*, Archaeological Association of the University of Calgary, Calgary 2000.

BRAY 2003: T. Bray (ed.), *The Archaeology and Politics of Food and Feasting in Early States and Empires*, Kluwer Academic/Plenum, New York 2003.

BRUMFIEL 1987: E. Brumfiel, *Elite and utilitarian crafts in the Aztec state*, in M. Brumfiel, T. Earle (eds.), *Specialization, Exchange, and Complex Societies*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1987, pp. 102-118.

BRUMFIEL, EARLE 1987: E. Brumfiel, T. Earle, *Specialization, Exchange, and Complex Societies: an introduction*, in E. Brumfiel, T. Earle (eds.), *Specialization, Exchange, and Complex Societies*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1987, pp. 1-9.

CAMPISI 2003: L. Campisi, *La ceramica indigena a decorazione geometrica dipinta*, in F. Spatafora (ed.), *Monte Maranfusa: un insediamento nella Media Valle del Belice. L'abitato indigeno*, Assessorato Regionale dei Beni Culturali ed Ambientali e della Pubblica Istruzione, Palermo 2003, pp. 157-229.

CASTELLANA 1990: G. Castellana, *L'insediamento di Montagnoli nei pressi di Selinunte. Un contributo per la conoscenza delle popolazioni anelleniche lungo il corso finale del Belice*, in G. Nenci, S. Tusa, V. Tusa (eds.), *Gli Elimi e l'Area Elima fino all'inizio della Prima Guerra Punica. Atti del Seminario di Studi, Palermo – Contessa Entellina, 25-28 Maggio, 1989* (= Archivio Storico Siciliano 14-15), Società siciliana per la storia patria, Palermo 1990, pp. 325-333.

CASTELLANA 1992: G. Castellana, *Nuovi dati su scavi condotti nel versante orientale del basso Belice e nel bacino finale del Platani*, in *Giornate Internazionali di Studi sull'Area Elima, Gibellina, 19-22 settembre 1991*, Scuola Normale Superiore, Pisa 1992, pp. 191-202.

CASTELLANA 2000: G. Castellana, *Nuovi dati sull'insediamento di Montagnoli presso Menfi*, in *Terze Giornate Internazionali di Studi sull'Area Elima, Gibellina – Erice – Contessa Entellina, 23-26 Ottobre 1997. Atti*, Scuola Normale Superiore, Pisa 2000, pp. 263-271.

CHAMBERS *et alii* 1990: M. Chambers, R. Gallucci, P. Spanos, *Athens' Alliance with Egesta in the Year of Antiphon*, «Zeitschrift für Papyrologie und Epigraphik» 83, 1990, pp. 38-63.

CORDANO 2008: F. Cordano, *Il santuario dei Palikoí*, «Aristonothos» 2, 2008, pp. 41-50.

D'ONZA 2019: M. C. D'Onza, *Ritualorte im räumlichen und sozialen Gefüge Siziliens: Formations- und Transformationsprozesse indigener Ritual- und Siedlungsplätze vom 9. Bis 6. Jh. V. Chr.*, Verlag Dr. Rudolf Habelt GmbH, Bonn 2019.

DIETLER 1990: M. Dietler, *Driven by drink: the role of drinking in the political economy and the case of Early Iron Age France*, «Journal of Anthropological Archaeology» 9, 1990, pp. 352-406.

DIETLER 1998: M. Dietler, *Consumption, Agency, and Cultural Entanglement: Theoretical Implications of a Mediterranean Colonial Encounter*, in J. Cusick (ed.), *Studies in Culture Contact: Interaction, Culture Change, and Archaeology*, Center for Archaeological Investigations, Carbondale, Illinois 1998, pp. 288-315.

DIETLER 1999: M. Dietler, *Rituals of commensality and the politics of state formation in the “princely” societies of early Iron Age Europe*, in *Les Princes de la Protohistoire et l'Émergence de l'État. Actes de la Table Ronde Internationale Organisée par le Centre Jean Bérard et l'École Française de Rome, Naples, 27-29 Octobre 1994*, École Française de Rome 1999, pp. 135-152.

DIETLER 2005: M. Dietler, *Consumptions and Colonial Encounters in the Rhône Basin of France: a study of early Iron Age political economy* (= Monographies d'Archéologie Méditerranéenne 21), Édition de l'Association pour le Développement de l'Archéologie en Languedoc-Roussillon, Lattes 2005.

DIETLER 2006: M. Dietler, *Alcohol: Anthropological/archaeological perspectives*, «Annual Review of Anthropology» 35, 2006, pp. 229-249.

DIETLER 2010: M. Dietler, *Archaeologies of Colonialism: Consumption, Entanglement, and Violence in Ancient Mediterranean France*, University of California Press, Berkeley 2010.

DIETLER, HAYDEN 2001: M. Dietler, B. Hayden (eds.), *Feasts: Archaeological and Ethnographic Perspectives on Food, Politics, and Power*, Smithsonian Institution Press, Washington D.C. 2001.

DE VIDO 2009: S. De Vido, *Gli Elimi delle montagne. Considerazioni intorno ai centri indigeni della Sicilia occidentale*, in M. Congiu, C. Miccichè, S. Modeo (eds.), *EIS AKPA. Insediamenti d'altura in Sicilia*

dalla preistoria al III sec. a.C. *Atti del V Convegno di Studi* (Caltanissetta, 10-11 maggio 2008), Salvatore Sciascia Editore, Caltanissetta-Roma 2009, pp. 85-99.

DE ANGELIS 2006: F. De Angelis, *Going against the grain in Sicilian Greek economics*, «Greece & Rome» 53, 1, 2006, pp. 29-47.

DE ANGELIS 2016: F. De Angelis, *Archaic and Classical Greek Sicily: a social and economic history*, Oxford University Press, Oxford 2016.

DI NOTO 1995: C. A. Di Noto, *La ceramica indigena a decorazione geometrica incisa e impressa*, in G. Nenci (ed.), *Entella I*, Stamperia della Scuola Normale Superiore, Pisa 1995, pp. 77-110.

DI ROSA 1999: M. Di Rosa, *Studio archeologico dei resti faunistici rinvenuti a Colle Madore*, in S. Vassallo (ed.), *Colle Madore: un caso di ellenizzazione in terra sicana*, Regione Siciliana – Beni Culturali, Palermo 1999, pp. 255-266.

DOOIJES, NIEUWENHUYSE 2007: R. Dooijes, O. Nieuwenhuyse, *Ancient repairs: techniques and social meaning*, in M. Bentz, U. Kästner (eds.), *Konservieren oder restaurieren, die Restaurierung griechischer Vasen von der Antike bis heute*, Beck, München 2007, pp. 15-20.

DOOIJES, NIEUWENHUYSE 2009: R. Dooijes, O. Nieuwenhuyse, *Ancient repairs in archaeological research: a Near Eastern perspective*, in J. Ambers, C. Higgitt, L. Harrison (eds.), *Holding It Together: Ancient and Modern Approaches to Joining, Repair, and Consolidation*, Archetype Books, London 2009, pp. 8-13.

EMERSON 2013: T. E. Emerson, *Ethnogenesis and political formation on Cabokia's northern frontier*, Paper presented at the 78th Annual Meeting of the Society for American Archaeology, Honolulu, Hawaii, 2013.

FATTA 1983: V. Fatta, *La ceramica geometrica di Sant'Angelo Muxaro* (= Studi monografici 2), Fondazione Giuseppe Whitaker, Palermo 1983.

FERRER MARTÍN 2013: M. Ferrer Martín, *Feasting the community: Ritual and power on the Sicilian acropoleis (10th-6th centuries BC)*, «Journal of Mediterranean Archaeology» 26, 2, 2013, pp. 211-234.

FERRER MARTÍN 2016: M. Ferrer Martín, *Feeding the community: women's participation in communal celebrations, western Sicily (eighth-sixth centuries BC)*, «Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory» 23, 3, 2016, pp. 900-920.

GULDAGER BILDE, HANDBERG 2012: P. Guldager Bilde, S. Handberg, *Ancient repairs on pottery from Olbia Pontica*, «American Journal of Archaeology» 116, 3, 2012, pp. 461-481.

GUMERMAN 1997: G. Gumerman, *Food and complex societies*, «Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory» 4, 1997, pp. 105-139.

GUZZONE 2009: C. Guzzone, *I santuari*, in R. Panvini, C. Guzzone, M. Congiu (eds.), *Sabucina, 50 anni di studi e ricerche archeologiche*, Regione Siciliana – Beni Culturali, Palermo 2009, pp. 91-121.

HALSTEAD, BARRETT 2004: P. Halstead, J. Barrett (eds.), *Food, Cuisine and Society in Prehistoric Greece*, Oxbow, Oxford 2004.

HAMILAKIS 1998: Y. Hamilakis, *Eating the dead: mortuary feasting and the politics of memory in the Aegean Bronze Age societies*, in K. Branigan (ed.), *Cemetery and Society in the Aegean Bronze Age*, Sheffield University Press, Sheffield 1998, pp. 115-132.

HAMILAKIS 2008: Y. Hamilakis, *Time, performance, and the production of a mnemonic record: from feasting to*

an archaeology of eating and drinking, in L. Hitchcock, R. Laffineur, J. Crowley (eds.), *DAIS: The Aegean Feast* (= Aegaeum 29), Université de Liège, Liège 2008, pp. 3-20.

HARRISON 2004: R. Harrison, *Shared histories and the archaeology of the pastoral industry in Australia*, in R. Harrison, C. Williamson (eds.), *After Captain Cook: The Archaeology of the Recent Indigenous Past in Australia*, AltaMira Press, Walnut Creek, California 2004, pp. 37-58.

HAYDEN, VILLENEUVE 2011: B. Hayden, S. Villeneuve, *A century of feasting studies*, «Annual Review of Anthropology» 40, 2011, pp. 433-449.

HELMS 1988: M. W. Helms, *Ulysses' sail: an ethnographic odyssey of power, knowledge, and geographical distance*, Princeton University Press, Princeton 1988.

HELMS 1993: M. W. Helms, *Craft and the kingly ideal: art, trade, and power*, University of Texas Press, Austin 1993.

HODDER 2012: I. Hodder, *Entangled: An Archaeology of the Relationships between Humans and Things*, Wiley-Blackwell, Malden, MA 2012.

JONES *et alii* 2014: R. E. Jones, S. T. Levi, M. Bettelli, L. Vagnetti, *Italo-Mycenaean pottery: the archaeological and archaeometric dimensions* (= Incunabula Graeca 103), CNR-Istituto di Studi sul Mediterraneo Antico, Roma 2014.

JONES *et alii* 2021: R. E. Jones, S. T. Levi, M. Bettelli, V. Cannavò, *Italo-Mycenaean and other Aegean-influenced pottery in Late Bronze Age Italy: the case for regional production*, «Archaeological and Anthropological Sciences» 13, 2021, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12520-020-01245-5>.

JORDAN 2009: K. A. Jordan, *Colonies, colonialism, and cultural entanglement: The archaeology of Postcolumbian intercultural relations*, in T. Majewski, D. Gaimster (eds.), *International Handbook of Historical Archaeology*, Springer, New York 2009, pp. 17-49.

JORDAN 2014: K. A. Jordan, *Pruning colonialism: Vantage point, local political economy, and cultural entanglement in the archaeology of post-1415 indigenous peoples*, in N. Ferris, R. Harrison, M. V. Wilcox (eds.), *Rethinking Colonial Pasts through Archaeology*, Oxford University Press, Oxford 2014, pp. 103-120.

JOYCE 2010: A. A. Joyce, *Expanding the feast: food preparation, feasting, and the social negotiation of gender and power*, in E. A. Klarich (ed.), *Inside Ancient Kitchens, New Directions in the Study of Daily Meals and Feasts*, University Press of Colorado, Boulder 2010, pp. 221-239.

JUNG, MEHOFER 2013: R. Jung, M. Mehofer, *Mycenaean Greece and Bronze Age Italy: cooperation, trade or war?*, «Archäologisches Korrespondenzblatt» 43, 2013, pp. 175-193.

JUNG *et alii* 2015: R. Jung, H. Mommsen, M. Pacciarelli, *From west to west: determining production regions of Mycenaean pottery of Punta di Zambrone (Calabria, Italy)*, «Journal of Archaeological Science: Reports» 3, 2015, pp. 455-463.

KIRK 2006: T. Kirk, *Materiality, personhood and monumentality in Early Neolithic Britain*, «Cambridge Archaeological Journal» 16, 2006, pp. 333-347.

KISTLER 2011: E. Kistler, *Wohnen in Compounds: Haus-Gesellschaften und Soziale Gruppenbildung im frühen West- und Mittelsizilien (12.-6. Jh. v. Chr.)*, in M. Gleba, H.W. Horsnæs (eds.), *Communicating Identity in Italic Iron Age Communities*, Oxford University Press, Oxford 2011, pp. 130-154.

KISTLER, MOHR 2015: E. Kistler, M. Mohr, *Monte Iato: Two Late Archaic feasting places between the local*

and the global, in E. Kistler, B. Öhlinger, M. Mohr, M. Hoernes (eds.), *Sanctuaries and the Power of Consumption: Networking and the Formation of Elites in the Archaic Western Mediterranean World. Proceedings of the International conference in Innsbruck, 20th-23rd March 2012*, Harrassowitz Verlag, Wiesbaden 2015, pp. 385-405.

KISTLER *et alii* 2017: E. Kistler, B. Öhlinger, T. Dauth, R. Irovec, B. Wimmer, *Archaika as a resource: The production of locality and colonial empowerment on Monte Iato (Western Sicily) around 500 BC*, in A. K. Scholz, M. Bartelheim, R. Hardenberg, J. Staecker (eds.), *ResourceCultures: Sociocultural Dynamics and the Use of Resources – Theories, Methods, Perspectives* (= RessourcenKulturen 5), Universität Tübingen, Tübingen 2017, pp. 11-27.

KOLB, SPEAKMAN 2005: M. Kolb, R. Speakman, *Elymian regional interaction in Iron Age Sicily: a preliminary neutron activation study of incised/impressed tablewares*, «Journal of Archaeological Science» 32, 2005, pp. 795-804.

KOLB *et alii* 2006: M. Kolb, S. Tusa, R. J. Speakman, *La decorazione a "denti di lupo": identità sociale e interazione nell'Età del Ferro in Sicilia occidentale*, «Sicilia Archeologica» 104, 2006, pp. 33-46.

LECOUNT 1999: L. LeCount, *Polychrome pottery and political strategies in Late and Terminal Classic Lowland Maya society*, «Latin American Antiquity» 10, 3, 1999, pp. 239-258.

MANISCALCO, MCCONNELL 2003: L. Maniscalco, B. McConnell, *The sanctuary of the divine Palikoi (Rocchicella di Mineo, Sicily): Fieldwork from 1995 to 2001*, «American Journal of Archaeology» 107, 2003, pp. 145-180.

MARTINDALE 2009: A. Martindale, *Entanglement and tinkering: Structural history in the archaeology of the Northern Tsimshian*, «Journal of Social Archaeology» 9, 1, 2009, pp. 59-91.

MCCONNELL, MANISCALCO 1997-1998: B. McConnell, L. Maniscalco, *Ricerche e scavi intorno a Paliké*, «Kokalos» 43-44, II, 1, 1997-1998, pp. 173-188.

MENTESANA *et alii* 2018: R. Mentesana, G. De Benedetto, G. Fiorentino, *One pot's tale: reconstructing the movement of people, materials and knowledge in Early Bronze Age Sicily through the microhistory of a vessel*, «Journal of Archaeological Science: Reports» 19, 2018, pp. 261-269.

MILLS 2007: B. Mills, *Performing the feast: visual display and supra-household commensalism in the Puebloan Southwest*, «American Antiquity» 72, 2007, pp. 210-239.

MONTANA *et alii* 2009: G. Montana, I. Iliopoulos, V. Tardo, C. Greco, *Petrographic and geochemical characterization of Archaic-Hellenistic tableware production at Solunto, Sicily*, «Geoarchaeology» 24, 1, 2009, pp. 86-110.

MORRIS, TUSA 2004: I. Morris, S. Tusa, *Scavi sull'acropoli di Monte Polizzi, 2000-2003*, «Sicilia Archeologica» 37, n. 102, 2004, pp. 35-90.

MORRIS *et alii* 2004: I. Morris, T. Jackman, B. Garnand, E. Blake, S. Tusa, P. Agozzino, T. Hnatiuk, G. Mahood, L. Meskell, R. Schon, H. P. Stika, *Stanford University excavations on the acropolis of Monte Polizzi, Sicily, IV: Preliminary report on the 2003 season*, «Memoirs of the American Academy in Rome» 49, 2004, pp. 197-279.

MOUNTJOY 1990: P. A. Mountjoy, *Regional Mycenaean Pottery*, «The Annual of the British School at Athens» 85, 1990, pp. 245-270.

MÜHLENBOCK 2008: C. Mühlenbock, *Fragments from a Mountain Society: Tradition, Innovation, and Interaction at Archaic Monte Polizzzo*, Gothenburg University, Gothenburg 2008.

MÜHLENBOCK 2015: C. Mühlenbock, *Expanding the circle of trust: tradition and change in Iron Age communities in western Sicily*, in J. Fejfer, M. Moltsen, A. Rathje (eds.), *Tradition: transmission of culture in the ancient world* (= Danish Studies in Classical Archaeology, Acta Hyperborea 14), Museum Tusculanum Press, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen 2015, pp. 239-268.

NENCI 1989: G. Nenci, *L'etnico 'Eλνυοι e il ruolo del panico nell'alimentazione antica*, «Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa. Classe di Lettere e Filosofia», Serie III 19, 4, 1989, pp. 1255-1265.

ÖHLINGER 2015: B. Öhlinger, *Indigenous cult places of local and interregional scale in Archaic Sicily: A sociological approach to religion*, in E. Kistler, B. Öhlinger, M. Mohr, M. Hoernes (eds.), *Sanctuaries and the Power of Consumption: Networking and the Formation of Elites in the Archaic Western Mediterranean World. Proceedings of the International conference in Innsbruck, 20th-23rd March 2012*, Harrassowitz Verlag, Wiesbaden 2015, pp. 417-430.

ÖHLINGER 2016: B. Öhlinger, *Ritueller Konsum am Monte Iato. Ein überregionaler Kultplatz im Binnenland des archaischen Siziliens*, in G. Grabherr, B. Kainrath (eds.), *Akten des 15. Österreichischen Archäologentages in Innsbruck 27. Februar-1. März 2014* (= Ikarus 9), Innsbruck University Press, Innsbruck 2016, pp. 265-274.

OMA 2006: K. Oma, *Human-animal relationships: mutual becomings in the household of Scandinavia and Sicily 900-500 BC*, Unpublished Dissertation, University of Southampton, Faculty of Arts, School of Humanities.

ORSER 1996: C. Orser, *A Historical Archaeology of the Modern World*, Plenum Publishers, New York 1996.

PALERMO *et alii* 2009: D. Palermo, D. Tanasi, E. Pappalardo, *Polizzello. Le origini del santuario*, in M. Congiu, C. Miccichè, S. Modeo (eds.), *EIS AKPA. Insediamenti d'altura in Sicilia dalla preistoria al III sec. a.C. Atti del V Convegno di Studi (Caltanissetta, 10-11 maggio 2008)*, Salvatore Sciascia Editore, Caltanissetta-Roma 2009, pp. 47-88.

PANCUCCI 1976-1977: D. Pancucci, *Monte Bubbonia: scavi nel quadriennio 1972-1975*, «Kokalos» 22-23, 1976-1977, pp. 470-478.

PANCUCCI 1980-1981: D. Pancucci, *Recenti scavi sull'acropoli di Monte Bubbonia (1976-1979)*, «Kokalos» 26-27, 1980-1981, pp. 649-651.

PANCUCCI, NARO 1992: D. Pancucci, M. C. Naro, *Monte Bubbonia. Campagne di scavo 1905, 1906, 1955*, Bretschneider, Roma 1992.

PAUKETAT *et alii* 2002: T. R. Pauketat, L. S. Kelly, G. J. Fritz, N. H. Lopinot, S. Elias, E. A. Hargrave, *The residues of feasting and public ritual at early Cahokia*, «American Antiquity» 67, 2002, pp. 257-279.

PECHE-QUILICHINI *et alii* 2017: K. Peche-Quilichini, M. Rageot, M. Regert, *Systèmes de réparation, de réutilisation et de recyclage des vaisselles céramiques protohistoriques de Corse*, «Bulletin de la Société préhistorique française» 114, 2017, pp. 137-166.

RABINOWITZ 2004: A. T. Rabinowitz, *Symposium, Community and Cultural Exchange in Archaic Sicily and South Italy*, Unpublished Dissertation, University of Michigan 2004.

ROUILLARD 2009: P. Rouillard, *Greeks and the Iberian Peninsula: forms of exchange and settlements*, in M.

Dietler, C. López-Ruiz (eds.), *Colonial Encounters in Ancient Iberia: Phoenician, Greek, and Indigenous Relations*, University of Chicago Press, Chicago 2009, pp. 131-151.

SERRA 2016: A. Serra, *La ceramica a decorazione geometrica dipinta da Segesta nel quadro delle produzioni della Sicilia occidentale* (= BAR International Series 2779), British Archaeological Reports Ltd, Oxford 2016.

SILLIMAN 2001: S. Silliman, *Agency, practical politics, and the archaeology of culture contact*, «Journal of Social Archaeology» 10, 1, 2001, pp. 190-209.

SILLIMAN 2005: S. Silliman, *Culture contact or colonialism? Challenges in the archaeology of native North America*, «American Antiquity» 70, 1, 2005, pp. 55-74.

SILLIMAN 2016: S. Silliman, *Disentangling the archaeology of colonialism and indigeneity*, in L. Der, F. Fernandini (eds.), *Archaeology of Entanglement*, Left Coast Press, Walnut Creek, California 2016, pp. 31-48.

SPATAFORA 1996: F. Spatafora, *La ceramica indigena a decorazione impressa e incisa nella Sicilia centro-occidentale: diffusione e pertinenza etnica*, «Sicilia Archeologica» 29, 1996, pp. 91-110.

SPATAFORA 2002: F. Spatafora, *Monte Maranfusa: l'abitato indigeno*, in F. Spatafora, S. Vassallo (eds.), *Sicani, Elimi e Greci: Storie di contatti e terre di frontiera*, Flaccovio, Palermo 2002, pp. 56-75.

SPATAFORA 2003: F. Spatafora, *La ceramica indigena a decorazione geometrica impressa e incisa*, in F. Spatafora (ed.), *Monte Maranfusa: un insediamento nella Media Valle del Belice. L'abitato indigeno*, Assessorato Regionale dei Beni Culturali ed Ambientali e della Pubblica Istruzione, Palermo 2003, pp. 109-156.

SPATAFORA 2009: F. Spatafora, *Culti e ceramiche greche nei santuari dei centri indigeni della Sicilia occidentale*, in S. Fortunelli, C. Masseria (eds.), *Ceramica attica da santuari della Grecia, della Ionia e dell'Italia. Atti Convegno Perugia 14-17 marzo 2007*, Osanna Edizioni, Venosa 2009, pp. 739-757.

SPATAFORA 2015: F. Spatafora, *Set ceremoniali e offerte nei luoghi di culto indigeni della Sicilia occidentale*, in R. Roure (ed.), *Contacts et Acculturations en Méditerranée Occidentale: Hommages à Michel Bats. Actes du colloque de Hyères (15-18 Septembre 2011)* (= Bibliothèque d'Archéologie Méditerranéenne et Africaine 15), Arles 2015, pp. 111-120.

SPATAFORA 2016: F. Spatafora, *Insediamenti indigeni d'altura: relazioni interculturali nella Sicilia occidentale*, in H. Baitinger (ed.), *Materielle Kultur und Identität im Spannungsfeld zwischen mediterraner Welt und Mitteleuropa* (RGZM – Tagungen 27), Verlag des Römisch-Germanischen Zentralmuseums, Mainz 2016, pp. 99-106.

SPATAFORA 2020: F. Spatafora, *Fenici, Punici e Sicelioti nella Sicilia occidentale tra contatti, relazioni e conflitti: storiografia e registro archeologico*, in S. Celestino Pérez, E. Rodríguez González (eds.), *Un viaje entre el Oriente y el Occidente del Mediterráneo. A Journey between East and West in the Mediterranean. IX Congreso Internacional de Estudios Fenicios y Púnicos – IX International Congress of Phoenician and Punic Studies* (Mérida, España, 22-26 de octubre 2018) (= Mytra 5), Instituto de Arqueología, Mérida 2020, pp. 1615-1627.

STAHL 2002: A. B. Stahl, *Colonial entanglements and the practices of taste: An alternative to logocentric approaches*, «American Anthropologist» 104, 2002, pp. 827-845.

STILLWELL 1959: R. Stillwell, *Excavations at Serra Orlando 1958 preliminary report III*, «American Journal of Archaeology» 63, 2, 1959, pp. 167-173.

SWENSON 2006: E. Swenson, *Competitive feasting, religious pluralism, and decentralized power in the Late Moche period*, in W. H. Isbell, H. Silverman (eds.), *Andean Archaeology III, North and South*, Springer, New York 2006, pp. 112-142.

THOMAS 1991: N. Thomas, *Entangled Objects: Exchange, Material Culture, and Colonialism in the Pacific*, Harvard University Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts 1991.

TROMBI 1999a: C. Trombi, *La ceramica indigena della Sicilia dalla seconda metà del IX sec. a.C. al V sec. a.C.*, in M. Barra Bagnasco, E. De Miro, A. Pinzone (eds.), *Origine e incontri di culture nell'antichità. Magna Grecia e Sicilia. Stato degli studi e prospettive di ricerca. Atti dell'Incontro di Studi* (Messina, 2-4 dicembre 1996), Dipartimento Scienze dell'Antichità, Messina 1999, pp. 275-293.

TROMBI 1999b: C. Trombi, *La ceramica indigena dipinta della Sicilia dalla seconda metà del IX sec. a.C. al V sec. a.C.*, in M. Barra Bagnasco, E. De Miro, A. Pinzone (eds.), *Origine e incontri di culture nell'antichità. Magna Grecia e Sicilia. Stato degli Studi e prospettive di ricerca. Atti dell'Incontro di Studi, Messina 2-4 dicembre 1996*, Dipartimento Scienze dell'Antichità, Messina 1999, pp. 275-293.

TROMBI 2003: C. Trombi, *Il materiale indigeno presente nelle colonie greche di Sicilia: La Sicilia occidentale Parte I*, «Quaderni di Archeologia, Università di Messina» 3, 2003, pp. 91-118.

TROMBI 2015: C. Trombi, *La ceramica indigena decorata della Sicilia occidentale. Tipologia e produzione*, Universitas Studiorum, Mantova 2015.

TWISS 2012: K. Twiss, *The archaeology of food and social diversity*, «Journal of Archaeological Research» 20, 2012, pp. 357-395.

VAESSEN 2016: R. Vaessen, *Cosmopolitanism, communalism, and the appropriation of Mycenaean pottery in western Anatolia*, «Anatolian Studies» 66, 2016, pp. 43-65.

VIVES-FERRÁNDIZ 2008: J. Vives-Ferrández, *Negotiating colonial encounters: hybrid practices and consumption in eastern Iberia (8th-6th centuries BC)*, «Journal of Mediterranean Archaeology» 21, 2, 2008, pp. 241-272.

WALSH 2014: J. Walsh, *Consumerism in the Ancient World: Imports and Identity Construction* (= Routledge Monographs in Classical Studies 19), Routledge, New York – London 2014.

WHITE 1991: R. White, *The Middle Ground: Indians, Empires, and Republics in the Great Lakes Region, 1650-1815*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1991.

WILLS, CROWN 2004: W. H. Wills, P. L. Crown, *Commensal politics in the Prehispanic Southwest: an introductory reader*, in B. J. Mills (ed.), *Identity, Feasting, and the Archaeology of the Greater Southwest: Proceedings of the 2002 Southwest Symposium*, University Press of Colorado, Boulder, Colorado 2004, pp. 153-172.

WRIGHT 1995: J. C. Wright, *Empty cups and empty jugs: the social role of wine in Minoan and Mycenaean societies*, in P. E. McGovern, S. J. Fleming, S. H. Katz (eds.), *The Origins and Ancient History of Wine* (= Food and Nutrition in History and Anthropology 11), Philadelphia 1995, pp. 287-309.

WRIGHT 2004: J. C. Wright, *A survey of evidence for feasting in Mycenaean society*, «Hesperia: The Journal of the American School of Classical Studies at Athens» 73, 2, 2004, pp. 133-178.




Fig. 1: Map of Sicily showing key indigenous and colonial sites discussed.




Fig. 2: Indigenous *scodelle*, *attingitoi*, and *capeduncole* vessel forms.